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The convergence of the solutions of the equations of a finite-dimensional model of the oscillations of a beam ( $n$ masses coupled by elastic hinges) to the solutions of a system with distributed parameters as $n \rightarrow \infty$ is proved. © 1996 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

A constructive algorithm for finding the free and resonance vibrations of the finite dimensional model being considered has been presented earlier [1]. A review of the results on the use and study of a system of rigid bodies is given in [2].

## 1. AN ELASTIC ROD AND A SYSTEM OF COUPLED RIGID BODIES

Consider a rod which has a constant cross-section and an unchanging stiffness (a homogeneous rod). We assume that the elements of the rod undergo only translational motion during the vibrations, that both ends of the rod are free and that there are no external forces or moments. The equations for small vibrations of the elastic rod and the boundary conditions then have the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
E \partial^{4} y / \partial x^{4}+S \rho \partial^{2} y / \partial t^{2}=0  \tag{1.1}\\
x=0, \quad x=l: \quad E I \partial^{2} y / \partial x^{2}=0 . \quad E I \partial^{3} y / \partial x^{3}=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $E I$ is the stiffness of the rod, $l$ is its length, $S$ is the cross-section area, $\rho$ is the density and the $O X$ axis is directed along the undeformed axis of the rod.

We now consider the motion of a system of coupled rigid bodies (SCRB) which simulates the small vibrations of the elastic rod in the formulation described above. In the finite-dimensional case, it can be represented by N 1 point masses with masses $m$ arranged along an elastic line which is simulated by $N$ weightless rods $S_{k}$ coupled by cylindrical hinges. It is assumed that the motion occurs in the $O X Y$ plane.

We introduce the following systems of coordinates:
$O X Y Z$ (unit vectors $\mathbf{e}_{x}, \mathbf{e}_{y}, \mathbf{e}_{z}$ ) is a fixed system of coordinates, the $O X$ axis of which at the initial instant $t=t_{0}$ is directed along the axis of the body $S_{1}$;
$O_{0} X Y Z$ is a system associated with the point $O_{0}$ which belongs to the body $S_{1}$, the axes of which are collinear with the corresponding axes of the fixed system;
$O_{0} X^{\prime} Y^{\prime}$ (unit vectors $\mathbf{i}$ and j ) is a system in which $O_{0} X^{\prime} \| O_{0} O_{N}$, where $O_{N}$ is a point on the axis of the body $S_{N}$;
$O_{k-1} X_{k} Y_{k}$ is a system associated with the body $S_{k}$ and, in it, $O_{k-1} X_{k} \| O_{k-1} O_{k}(k=\overline{1, N})$.
We will define the position of the system $O_{0} X^{\prime} Y^{\prime}$ with respect to the fixed angle $\psi$ and the position of the coupled system with respect to $O_{0} X^{\prime} Y^{\prime}$ by the angle $\psi_{2}(k=\overline{1, N})$.

As in [4], during the motion of the free system [1], it is possible to separate out its motion as a whole (the large motion) and the relative elastic vibrations (the small motion). Here, the large motion is determined by the change in the coordinates of the point $O_{0}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ and the angle $\psi$, while the small motion is determined by the change in the angle $\psi_{k}$. Since only small deformations of the rod are considered in the continuous formulation then, also in the SCRB, we shall study the case when the angles $\psi_{k}$ are small. The kinetic energy and potential energy of the SCRB under consideration are

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{m}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N} v_{k}^{2}, \quad \Pi=\frac{1}{2} x^{2}\left[\sum_{k=2}^{N}\left(\psi_{k}-\psi_{k-1}\right)^{2}+o\left(\psi_{k}^{2}\right)\right] \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x^{2}$ is the stiffness of an elastic hinge, $v_{k}=v_{k}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, \psi, \psi_{k}\right)$ is the velocity of the pole $O_{k}(k=\overline{0, N})$ and $o\left(\psi_{k}^{2}\right)$ are terms of greater than the second order of smallness in $\psi_{k}$.

We conclude from (1.3) that the potential energy is positive definite with respect to some of the variables and, in fact, with respect to $\psi_{k}$ and, since the kinetic energy is a positive definite function it follows from the results previously obtained [5] that, provided the $\Psi_{k}$ are small at $t=t_{0}$, they remain small during the whole duration of the motion as a consequence of the stability of the motion of the system with respect to these variables. We will denote the coordinates of the points $O_{k}(k=\overline{0, N})$ in the system $O_{0} X^{\prime} Y^{\prime}$ by $u_{k} w_{k}$. Then, $\dagger$ for small deflections, we assume in the linear approximation that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{k}=\left(w_{k}-w_{k-1}\right) / h, \quad u_{k}=k h \quad(k=\overline{1, N})  \tag{1.4}\\
& u_{0}=w_{0}=w_{N}=0, \quad u_{N}=N h, \quad h=1 / N
\end{align*}
$$

where $h$ is the distance between $O_{k}$ and $O_{k+1}(k=\overline{0, N})$.
The velocity of the point $O_{k}$ is equal to $\mathbf{v}_{k}=\dot{\mathbf{O}} \mathbf{O}_{k}=\mathbf{v}_{0}+\mathbf{O}_{0} \mathbf{O}_{k}$ and can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{v}_{k}=\mathbf{v}_{0}+\left(\dot{w}_{k}+k h \dot{\psi}\right) \mathbf{j}-w_{k} \dot{\psi} \mathbf{i}  \tag{1.5}\\
& \mathbf{v}_{0}=\dot{x}_{0} \mathbf{e}_{x}+\dot{y}_{0} \mathbf{e}_{y}
\end{align*}
$$

We substitute expressions (1.4) and (1.5) into (1.3). The kinetic energy and potential energy, apart from terms of the second order of smallness, are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T=\frac{1}{2} N m\left(\dot{u}_{p}^{2}+\dot{w}_{p}^{2}\right)+\frac{m}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left[-2 \dot{\psi} \dot{u}_{p} w_{k}+w_{k}^{2} \dot{\psi}^{2}+2 \dot{w}_{p}\left(\dot{w}_{k}+k h \dot{\psi}\right)+\dot{w}_{k}^{2}+k^{2} h^{2} \dot{\psi}^{2}+2 k h \dot{\psi} \dot{w}_{k}\right] \\
& \Pi=\frac{x^{2}}{2 h^{2}}\left[\left(w_{2}-2 w_{1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{k=3}^{N-1}\left(w_{k-1}-2 w_{k}+w_{k+1}\right)^{2}+\left(w_{N-2}-2 w_{N-1}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $\dot{u}_{p}=\dot{x}_{0} \cos \psi+\dot{y}_{0} \sin \psi, \dot{w}_{p}=-\dot{x}_{0} \sin \psi+\dot{y}_{0} \cos \psi$ The system has three integrals

$$
\begin{align*}
& M \dot{u}_{p}-m \dot{\Psi} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} w_{k}=C_{1} \\
& M \dot{w}_{p}+m a \dot{\psi}+m \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \dot{w}_{k}=C_{2}  \tag{1.6}\\
& \dot{\Psi}\left(a_{1}+\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} w_{k}^{2}\right)+a \dot{w}_{0}-\dot{u}_{p} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} w_{k}+h \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} k \dot{w}_{k}=C_{3} \\
& \left(M=N m, a_{1}=h^{2} N(N-1)(2 N-1) / 6, a=h N(N+1) / 2\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We will now consider the case when, at the initial instant, the momentum and the angular momentum are equal to zero. This means that the constants of integration are equal to zero. In particular, we can then assume that, at the initial instant, system (1.6) has a null solution. Assuming that the variables $\psi, u_{p}, w_{p}$ are small when $t=t_{0}$, we consider them to be small during the whole time of the motion. In this case, we denote the coordinates of the points $O_{k}(k=\overline{0, N})$ in the fixed basis by $x_{k} y_{k}$, assume that $y_{k}$ are small and obtain the following equations of the small vibrations (see the paper cited in the footnote)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{y}_{0}+x_{1}^{2}\left(y_{2}-2 y_{1}+y_{0}\right)=0 \\
& \ddot{y}_{1}+x_{1}^{2}\left(y_{3}-4 y_{2}+5 y_{1}-2 y_{0}\right)=0 \\
& \ddot{y}_{k}+x_{1}^{2}\left(y_{k-2}-4 y_{k-1}+6 y_{k}-4 y_{k+1}+y_{k+2}\right)=0 \quad k=\overline{2 . N-2}  \tag{1.7}\\
& \ddot{y}_{N-1}+x_{1}^{2}\left(y_{N-3}-4 y_{N-2}+5 y_{N-1}-2 y_{N}\right)=0 \\
& \ddot{y}_{N}+x_{1}^{2}\left(y_{N-2}-2 y_{N-1}+y_{N}\right)=0, \quad x_{1}^{2}=x^{2} /\left(m h^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

## 2. COMPARISON OF THE SOLUTIONS FOR THE ROD AND FINITE-DIMENSIONAL MODELS

It is easily seen that the equations of motion (1.7) can be treated as a finite-difference approximation of Eqs (1.1) and (1.2) with respect to the spatial variable $x_{k}=k h$. This fact subsequently enables us to use theorems which have been proved in the theory of finite difference schemes to prove that the solution of the finite-dimensional problem (SCRB) converges to the solution of the continuous problem (an elastic rod) which corresponds to it as regards its formulation. According to the results obtained earlier [6], the solution of the finite-dimensional problem converges to the solution of the continuous problem when two conditions are satisfied: the equations of the finitedimensional model must approximate the equations of the continuous model and, furthermore, the finite-difference scheme, to which the system of ordinary differential equations corresponds in the case under consideration, must be stable.

Let $L$ be a continuous differential operator and let $L_{h}$ be a difference operator. Then, Eqs (1.1) and (1.2) and system (1.7) can be correspondingly written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
L y=0  \tag{2.1}\\
L_{h} y_{h}=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then, if $y\left(x_{k}\right)$ is the solution of the continuous problem (2.1) when $x=x_{k}$, we have $L\left(y\left(x_{k}\right)\right)=0$, $L_{h}\left(y\left(x_{k}\right)\right)=\partial f_{h}$.

By definition [6], the solution of the finite-difference system (2.2) approximates the solution $Y$ of the continuous system (2.1) in the case when $\|\delta f h\| \rightarrow 0$ when $h \rightarrow 0$.

In (1.7), let us put

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}=E I / h, \quad m=\rho S h \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has been proved (see the paper cited in the footnote) that, subject to condition (2.3), the equations of motion of the SCRB (1.7) converges to the equations for small vibrations of an elastic rod (1.1), (1.2). It follows from this that the equations of motion of the SCRB approximate to the equations for small vibrations of elastic rods.

Since the approximation has already been established, we shall concentrate on proving the stability. According to the definition in [ ${ }^{7}$ ], the difference problem (2.2) being considered is stable if, for any $f_{h}(t) \in F_{h},\left\|f_{h}\right\|_{F h}<\delta$ the equation $L_{h} y_{h}=f_{h}$ has a unique solution when $\left\|y_{h}\right\| \leqslant C \delta$, where $C$ is independent of $h$ and $\|\cdot\|$ is any of the norms [8] introduced in the space of the functions $Y$.

The integrals (1.6) with null integration constants have the following form in the new variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{N} \dot{y}_{k}=0, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{N} k \dot{y}_{k}=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now make the change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{k}=y_{k}-y_{k-1} \quad(k=\overline{1, N}), \quad v_{k}=z_{k}-z_{k+1} \quad(k=\overline{1, N-1}) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (1.7) and we then obtain the following system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{V}+G N^{4} A V=0 ; \quad G=E\left\|/\left(\rho S I^{4}\right), \quad N=\right\| h \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G$ is a constant which is independent of $h, A$ is a symmetric pentadiagonal matrix of the $n$th order ( $n=N$ -1 ) with elements $a_{i j}$ defined by the equalities

$$
a_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{rl}
6, & j=i, \\
-4, & j=i+1, \\
1, & j=i+2 \\
0, & j>i+2
\end{array} \quad i \leqslant j<n\right.
$$

and the elements below the principal diagonal are obtained by symmetric reflection $V=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)^{T}$. System (2.4), (2.6) is equivalent to (1.7). After finding $v_{k}(k=\overline{1, n})$ from (2.6), we find $y_{0}$ and $z_{1}=y_{1}-y_{0}$ from (2.4) and the remaining $y_{k}(k:=\overline{2, n})$ from (2.5).

Hence, to estimate the solution of system (1.7) and to prove stability, it is necessary to estimate the solution of system (2.6) and to show that small perturbations of its right-hand side lead to small perturbations of the solution which are independent of $h$. The establishment of this fact is also evidence of the stability of system (2.6) and, together with it when account is taken of (2.4) and (2.5), of system (1.7).

So, we shall consider the system

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { I. A. Bolgrabskaya } \\
\ddot{V}+G N^{4} A V=f(t), \quad\|f(t)\|<\delta
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $A$ is a real symmetric matrix, an orthogonal matrix $B$ always exists which will reduce it to diagonal form. We obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\ddot{U}+\Lambda U=B^{-1} f(t)  \tag{2.7}\\
U=B^{-1} V, \quad \Lambda=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ is the vector of the eigenvalues of the matrix $C=\left\{c_{i j}\right\}=\left\{G N^{4} a_{i j}\right\}$.
The general solution of Eq. (2.7) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=U_{0} \cos \sqrt{\lambda} t+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \dot{U}_{0} \sin \sqrt{\lambda} t+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \int_{0}^{T} B^{-1} f(\tau) \sin \sqrt{\lambda}(t-\tau) d \tau \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us assume that $\left\|V_{0}\right\| \leqslant \varphi_{0},\left\|V_{0}\right\| \leqslant \psi_{0}$ at the initial instant, where $\|\cdot\|$ is the Euclidean norm of a vector. Since $B$ is the orthogonal transformation $\|U\|=\left\|B^{-1} V\right\|=\|V\|$, on estimating the norm of (2.8), we arrive at the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|V\|=\|U\| \leqslant \varphi_{0}+\left(\Psi_{0}+\delta T\right) / \min _{k}\left|\sqrt{\lambda_{k}}\right| \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, to prove stability, it is necessary to show that a constant $d$ exists which is independent of $h$ such that $1 / \min _{k}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|<d$, where $\lambda_{k}$ are the eigenvalues of the matrix $C$.

We will denote the eigenvalues of the matrix $M$ by $C$. Then, on taking account of (2.7), we have [9]

$$
\lambda(C)=G N^{4} \lambda(A)=G(n+1)^{4} \lambda(A)
$$

All of the angular minors of the matrix $A$ can be calculated in explicit form

$$
\Delta_{i}=(i+1)(i+2)^{2}(i+3) / 12>0 \quad(i=\overline{1, n})
$$

and it follows from Silvester's criterion that the real matrix $A$ is positive definite and all its eigenvalues are real and positive [ 8,9$]$. Let $A^{i}$ be a matrix of the $i$ th order $(i \leqslant n$ ) obtained from the first $i$ rows and $i$ columns of the matrix $A$. We denote the eigenvalues by $\lambda\left(A^{i}\right)=\left(\mu_{1}^{i}, \ldots, \mu_{i}^{i}\right)$, assuming that they are numbered in increasing order of magnitude. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{k}\left(\lambda_{k}\right)=G N^{4} \mu_{1}^{\prime \prime} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now consider the matrix $B^{i}$ in which $b_{11}=b_{i i}=5$ and the remaining $b_{i j}=a_{i j}$. It is obvious that the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{i}=\left(D^{i}\right)^{2} \quad i \geqslant 2 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied, where $D^{i}$ is a symmetric matrix of the $i$ th order, the elements of which are

$$
d_{k m}^{i}=\left\{\begin{aligned}
2, & m=k \\
-1, & m=k+1, \quad k<m \leqslant i \\
0, & m>k+1 ;
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

The eigenvalues of the matrix $D^{i}$ are known [7]. It then follows from (2.11) [9] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k}\left(B^{i}\right)=\lambda_{k}^{2}\left(D^{i}\right)=16 \sin ^{4} \frac{k \pi}{2(i+1)} \quad(k=\overline{1, i}) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall use the notation $\lambda_{k}\left(B^{i}\right)=v_{k}^{i}$. Then, from (2.12), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}_{k}^{i}<\mathrm{v}_{l}^{i}, \quad k<l \leqslant i ; \quad \mathrm{v}_{k}^{i}>\mathrm{v}_{k}^{i} . \quad i<s \leqslant n \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the eigenvalues of the matrix are the roots of its characteristic polynomial, $\mu_{k}^{s}, v_{k}^{s}$ are the roots of the equations

$$
\Delta_{k}(\lambda)=A^{k}-\lambda E^{k}, \quad \delta_{k}(\lambda)=B^{k}-\lambda E^{k}
$$

respectively, where $E^{k}$ is the identity matrix of the $k$ th order $(k \leqslant n)$.
We now represent $\Delta_{k}$ in the following manner

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{k}(\lambda)=\Delta_{k-1}(\lambda)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \delta_{i}(\lambda), \quad k=\overline{2 \cdot n}  \tag{2.14}\\
& \Delta_{1}==-\lambda+6
\end{align*}
$$

Next, by taking account of the fact that all the roots of the matrices $A^{k}, B^{k}$ are real, Eq. (2.14) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{k}(\lambda)=\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(-\lambda+\mu_{i}^{k}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{k-1}\left(-\lambda+\mu_{i}^{k-1}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{l=1}^{i}\left(-\lambda+v_{l}^{i}\right), \quad k=\overline{2, n} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $k=1$, we have $\mu_{1}^{1}=6, v_{1}^{1}=5$.
On considering (2.15) for $k=2, \ldots, n$, we successively find, when conditions (2.13) are satisfied, that $\Delta_{k}(\lambda)>0$ when $\lambda>v_{1}^{k}$, and it follows from this that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}^{k}>v_{1}^{k}, \quad k=\overline{2, n} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, using (2.12), we have from (2.10) and (2.16) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\min _{k}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|\right)^{-1}<\left[16 G(n+1)^{4} \sin ^{4} \frac{\pi}{2(n+1)}\right]^{-1} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the relation

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} 16(n+1)^{4} \sin ^{4} \frac{\pi}{2(n+1)}=\pi
$$

we conclude that, for sufficiently large $n$ (or small $h$ ), it follows from (2.17) that $\left(\min _{k}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|\right)^{-1}<d$, where the constant $d$ is independent of $h$.

So, an estimate of the smallest eigenvalue $\lambda(C)$ which is independent of $h$ has been obtained which enables us to estimate the solution of (2.8), taking account of (2.9), as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|V\| \leqslant \varphi_{0}+\left(\psi_{0}+\delta T\right) / \sqrt{d}=C \delta \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relation (2.1) gives an estimate of the solution of system (2.6) which is independent of $h$ as a consequence of which it follows from (2.4) and (2.5) that the solution of system (1.7), in the case of small perturbations of the right-hand side, also changes by an amount which is independent of $h$, that is, system (1.7) is stable.

Next, by taking account of the fact that system (1.7) approximates to (1.1), (1.2), we conclude that its solution converges to the solution of the continuous system.

I wish to thank A. Ya. Savchenko for his interest and for useful discussions.

## REFERENCES

1. SAVCHENKO A. Ya., BOLGRABSKAYA I. A. and KONONYKHIN G. A., Stability of the Motion of Systems of Coupled Rigid Bodies. Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1991.
2. BOLGRABSKAYA 1. A. and SAVCHENKO A. Ya., Stability of Steady Motions of Systems of Coupled Rigid Bodies. Mekh. Tverd. Tela, Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 21, 62-73, 1989.
3. TIMOSHENKO S. P., Vibrations in Engineering. Nauka, Moscow, 1967.
4. COCHRAN J. E., BATSON J. L. and CHRISTENSEN D. E., Dynamic coupling of gross and fine motions of a flexible spinning free rocket. AIAA: Almos. Flight Mech. Conference, Hollywood, CA, pp. 182-194, 1977.
5. RUMYANTSEV V. V. and OZIRANER A. S., Stability and Stabilization of Motion with Respect to Some of the Variables. Nauka, Moscow, 1987.
6. RYABEN'KII V. S. and FILIPPOV A. F., The Stability of Difference Equations. Gostekhizdat, Moscow, 1956.
7. GODUNOV S. K. and RYABEN'KII V. S., Difference Schemes. Nauka, Moscow, 1973.
8. GANTMAKHER F. R., Matrix Theory. Nauka, Moscow, 1967.
9. MARCUS M. and MINC H., A Survey of Matrix Theory and Matrix Inequalities. Allyn \& Bacon, Boston, MA, 1964.
